Triangular Bose-Hubbard trimer as a minimal model for a superfluid circuit

Doron Cohen Ben-Gurion University

Geva Arwas (PhD, BGU) Mott regime Amichay Vardi (BGU) N^2 1.8 + additional collaborations (see next page) self trapping 1.6 1.4 1.2 0.4 Φ/π 0.6 0.2 0.8 2 2.6 2.4 2.2 Ι 1 Φ ⊐, 1.8 1.6 1.4 1.2 $\pi/2$ π n 0.4 Φ/π 0.2 0.6 0.8

Ref: Arwas, Vardi, Cohen (PRA 2014) http://www.bgu.ac.il/~dcohen \$ISF

BHH - dimers and trimers

The Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian (BHH):

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm BHH} = \frac{U}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{M} a_j^{\dagger} a_j^{\dagger} a_j a_j - \frac{K}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left(a_{j+1}^{\dagger} a_j + a_j^{\dagger} a_{j+1} \right) \qquad u \equiv \frac{NU}{K}$$

Dimer (M=2): minimal BHH; Bosonic Josephson junction; Pendulum physics [1,5].
Driven dimer: Landau-Zener dynamics [2], Kapitza effect [3], Zeno effect [4], Standard-map physics [5].
Linear trimer: minimal model for chaos; Coupled pendula physics.
Triangular trimer: minimal model with topology, Superfluidity [6], Stirring [7].
Coupled trimers: minimal model for mesoscopic thermalization [8].

- [1] Maya Chuchem, Smith-Mannschott, Hiller, Kottos, Vardi, Cohen (PRA 2010).
- [2] Smith-Mannschott, Chuchem, Hiller, Kottos, Cohen (PRL 2009).
- [3] Boukobza, Moore, Cohen, Vardi (PRL 2010).
- [4] Khripkov, Vardi, Cohen (PRA 2012)
- [5] Christine Khripkov, Cohen, Vardi (JPA 2013, PRE 2013).
- [6] Geva Arwas, Vardi, Cohen (PRA 2014).
- [7] Hiller, Kottos, Cohen (EPL 2008, PRA 2008).
- [8] Tikhonenkov, Vardi, Anglin, Cohen, PRL (2013).

The BHH for a dimer is like a pendulum

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{U}{2} \sum_{i=1,2} \hat{n}_i (\hat{n}_i - 1) - \frac{K}{2} (\hat{a}_2^{\dagger} \hat{a}_1 + \hat{a}_1^{\dagger} \hat{a}_2), \qquad \hat{n}_i \equiv \hat{a}_i^{\dagger} \hat{a}_i, \qquad \hat{n} \equiv \frac{1}{2} (\hat{n}_1 - \hat{n}_2), \qquad u \equiv \frac{NU}{K}$$

N particles in a double well is like spin j=N/2 system

$$\mathcal{H} = U\hat{J}_z^2 - K\hat{J}_x$$

 $\hat{J}_z =$ occupation difference



Analogous to Josephson junction if the occupation difference $\ll N/2$

$$\mathcal{H}(n,\varphi) = Un^2 - \frac{NK}{2}\cos(\varphi)$$

 $\hat{n} = \text{occupation difference}$





Quasi stability

"0" preparation - all bosons are condensed in the lower orbital " π " preparation - all bosons are condensed in the upper orbital φ preparation - all bosons are condensed in $|1\rangle + e^{i\varphi}|2\rangle$



- The " π " preparation is classically unstable: irreversible decay
- Quantum mechanically the π preparation is quasi-periodic $t_{\text{recurrances}} \sim \log(N)$
- Generic φ preparations that are quasi-irreversible $t_{\rm recurrances} \sim \sqrt{N}$

$_{ m irrances}\sim \sqrt{N}$

Notes:

- Similar, but not the same: Scars at hyperbolic points [Heller, Kaplan]; Anderson localization
- You can stabilize the π preparation using high frequency periodic driving (Kapitza)
- You can stabilize the π preparation using noisy driving (Zeno) it is a classical effect!

The Trimer

A rotating M=3 site system with N bosons:

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left[\frac{U}{2} a_j^{\dagger} a_j^{\dagger} a_j a_j - \frac{K}{2} \left(e^{i(\Phi/M)} a_{j+1}^{\dagger} a_j + e^{-i(\Phi/M)} a_j^{\dagger} a_{j+1} \right) \right]$$

This is like M coupled oscillators with an additional constant of motion:

$$N = \sum_{j=1}^{M} a_j^{\dagger} a_j = \sum_{j=1}^{M} n_j$$

Dimensionless parameters (Φ, u) :

$$u = \frac{NU}{K}$$

$$\Phi = \frac{M^2}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\mathsf{m}}{\mathsf{m}_{\mathrm{eff}}}\right) \frac{\Omega}{K}$$

Upon quantization we have:

$$\hbar = \frac{1}{N}$$



Eigenstates

For $N = n_1 + n_2 + n_3$ the standard basis is $|\mathbf{r}\rangle$ where $r_{\parallel} = (n_2 - n_1)/N$ and $r_{\perp} = n_3/N$

 $|\psi(\mathbf{r})|^2 = |\langle \mathbf{r}|E_{\alpha}\rangle|^2 = \text{occupation probability distribution}$

For each eigenstate $|E_{\alpha}\rangle$ we calculate:

Representative Eigenstates:

One-body reduced probability matrix – $\rho_{ij} = (1/N) \langle a_j^{\dagger} a_i \rangle_{\alpha}$

The number of participating orbitals –

 $1 < (1/S_{\alpha}) < M$ $S_{\alpha} \equiv \operatorname{trace}(\rho^2)$ 1/S=1 means a coherent state. 1/S=3 means maximum fragmentation.

Bond averaged current –

$$\mathcal{I}_{\alpha} = -\left\langle \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \Phi} \right\rangle_{\alpha}$$



The energy spectrum of the trimer (N = 42)



- Mott Transition (ground state)
- Self-Trapping (upper state)
- Metastable vortex state?

Self-trapped state = condensation in site orbital. Vortex state = condensation in momentum orbital.

 $m = 0, \pm 1$

$$I_{\boldsymbol{m}} = \frac{N}{M} K \sin\left(\frac{1}{M}(2\pi\boldsymbol{m} - \Phi)\right)$$



Self Trapping

1/S of upper energy state





I of upper energy state

m = -1

• Self-trapping can occur for arbitrarily small interaction.

Quasi-stability of the intermediate vortex state





$$1/S$$
 of maximum current state

$$u = \frac{6 - 9\cos\left(\frac{\pi - 2\Phi}{3}\right) - 3\cos\left(\frac{\pi + 4\Phi}{3}\right)}{6\cos\left(\frac{\pi + \Phi}{3}\right) - 2\cos\left(\Phi\right)}$$

- The quantum metastability regime extends beyond the classically expected.
- Quantum scarring related effect(?).

The energy landscape

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left[\frac{U}{2} \boldsymbol{n}_{j}^{2} - K \sqrt{\boldsymbol{n}_{j+1} \boldsymbol{n}_{j}} \cos\left((\varphi_{j+1} - \varphi_{j}) - \frac{\Phi}{M} \right) \right] \qquad a_{j} = \sqrt{\boldsymbol{n}_{j}} \mathrm{e}^{i\varphi_{j}}$$

or



top surface $V_{+} =$ V_{-} intermediate surface = $V_0 =$ bottom surface

V(r)

$$V_{\pm}^{\prime\prime}(r_0) = 6U - 9\frac{K}{N} \left[\frac{2 - 3\cos\left(\frac{\pi \pm 2\Phi}{3}\right) - \cos\left(\frac{\pi \mp 4\Phi}{3}\right)}{3\cos\left(\frac{\pi \mp \Phi}{3}\right) - \cos\left(\Phi\right)} \right]$$



[Peierls-Nabarro surfaces]

Concluding Remarks

- The essence of superfluidity is the possibility to witness metastable vortex states ("dissipationless current")
- In the standard classical stability analysis one finds that vortex states whose rotation velocity is less than a critical velocity are metastable ("Landau criterion")
- For a non-rotating 3-site model the same type of classical analysis implies that there are no metastable vortex states [1,2]



- We have explored the full regime diagram. In the presence of rotation we find (Ω, u) regimes where metastable vortex states exists.
- In the quantum analysis we find that the intermediate vortex state is quasi-stable beyond the classical expectation, even for non-rotating device.

[1] PC with J. Anglin, T. Leggett, P. Ghosh[2] P. Ghosh, F. Sols, Phys. Rev. A 77, 033609 (2008)