# Superfluidity and Chaos in low dimensional circuits

Geva Arwas, Amichay Vardi, Doron Cohen

Ben Gurion University of the Negev, Beer Sheva, Israel

# Scope

- Consider N bosons in an M site ring, that are condensed into a single plane-wave orbital. This is called a "vortex state". It has a macroscopically large current.
- The conventional paradigm associates vortex states with a stationary stable fixed-points in phase space. Consequently the Landau criterion, and more generally the Bogoliubov de Gennes stability analysis, are normally used to determine the viability of superfluidity.
- ► We challenge the application of the traditional paradigm to low-dimensional circuits and highlight the role of chaos in their analysis.

# The model

A rotating Bose-Hubbard system with *M* sites and *N* bosons.

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left[ \frac{U}{2} a_j^{\dagger} a_j^{\dagger} a_j a_j - \frac{K}{2} \left( e^{i(\Phi/M)} a_{j+1}^{\dagger} a_j + e^{-i(\Phi/M)} a_j^{\dagger} a_{j+1} \right) \right]$$

Dimensionless parameters  $(\Phi, u)$ :



#### **Phase-space structure** M = 3





NU U =m Φ

Upon quantization we have:

 $\hbar = \overline{N}$ 

The number of particles *N* is a constant of motion:

 $N = \sum a_i^{\dagger} a_i$ 

hence the model has effectively d = M - 1 degrees of freedom. M = 2 Bosonic Josephson junction, Integrable (d=1). M = 3 Minimal circuit, Chaotic (mixed) phase-space  $M \ge 4$ : High dimensional chaos (Arnold diffusion)  $M \rightarrow \infty$ : Continuous ring, Integrable.

### **Fixed-points and Stability**

In a semi-classical context one define phase-space action-angle coordinates as follows:

$$a_j = \sqrt{n_j} e^{i\varphi_j}$$
,  $z = (\varphi_1, \cdots, \varphi_M, n_1, \cdots, n_M)$ 

The dynamics is generated by: (equivalent to DNLS)

 $\dot{z} = \mathbb{J}\partial H$  ,  $\mathbb{J} = ($ 

A stable vortex state carries current:

**Regime Diagram for** M = 3

$$I_m = \frac{N}{M}K \sin\left(\frac{1}{M}(2\pi m - \Phi)\right)$$
, (here  $m = 1$ )

Spectral stability: (solid) 
$$U > \frac{3 - 12 \sin^2 \left(\frac{\Phi}{3} - \frac{\pi}{6}\right)}{4 \sin \left(\frac{\Phi}{3} - \frac{\pi}{6}\right)}$$
  
Dynamical instability: (dashed)  $U > \frac{9}{4} \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{6} - \frac{\Phi}{3}\right)$  &  $\Phi < \frac{\pi}{2}$   
Swap transition: (dotted)  $U = 18 \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{6} - \frac{\Phi}{3}\right)$ 

Regime diagram for "Large" systems ( $M \ge 4$ )

$$M = 4$$
,  $N = 16$   $M = 5$ ,  $N = 11$ 



• Energy surface is 2d - 1 dimensional KAM tori are d dimensional



Coherent states are supported by stable fixed-points ( $\partial H = 0$ ) of the classical Hamiltonian. Linear stability analysis (BdG):

> $\dot{z} = \mathbb{J}Az$  $\mathcal{A}_{\nu,\mu} = \partial_{\nu}\partial_{\mu}H$

Spectral stability: Energy minima (Landau criterion) Dynamical stability: Zero Lyapunov exponents (real Bogoliubov frequencies)

### **Beyond the traditional view**

- Dynamical instability of a vortex state does not necessarily mean that superfluidity is diminished, due to KAM structures. Chaotic and irregular vortex states.
- Dynamical stability of a vortex state does not always imply actual stability. For  $M \ge 4$  KAM tori do not block transport (Arnold diffusion).
- Due to the quantum uncertainty width of a vortex-state, stability is required within a Plank cell around the fixed-point. Phase-diagram should be  $\hbar$  dependent

Launching trajectories at the vicinity of the vortex fixed-point we encounter the following possibilities: the trajectories are:

. locked at the vortex fixed point (regular vortex state)

- 2. quasi-periodic in phase-space (breathing vortex)
- 3. chaotic but unidirectional (chotic vortex)

- Arnold diffusion: the KAM tori in phase space are not effective in blocking the transport on the energy shell if d > 2.
- As u becomes larger this non-linear leakage effect is enhanced, stability of the motion is deteriorated, and the current is diminished.
- Due to the finite uncertainty width of the vortex state superfluidity can be diminished even in the spectrally stable region.

#### **Representative Wavefunctions** M = 3, 4

(a) Regular coherent vortex state. (b) Self-trapped state ("bright soliton"). (c) Typical state in the chaotic sea. (d) Chaotic vortex state. (e) Breathing vortex state. (f) Regular coherent vortex state. (g) Irregular vortex state.

Images of  $|\langle \mathbf{n} | \mathbf{E}_{\alpha} \rangle|^2$  (Fock basis representation). Insets: underlying classical dynamics. Panels (a-e) are for M = 3, panels (f-g) are for M = 4.





Poincare sections: red (blue) = large positive (negative) current

# Semiclassical reproduction of the regime diagram M = 4

We launch a Gaussian cloud of trajectories that have an uncertainty width that corresponds to N. The fraction of trajectories that escape is used as a measure for the stability.



Results are displayed for clouds that have uncertainty width  $\Delta \varphi \sim \pi/2$  (left) and  $\Delta \varphi \sim \pi/4$  (right).

# Conclusions

The recent experimental realization of confining potentials with toroidal shapes and tunable weak links has opened a new arena of studying superfluidity in low dimensional rings. In particular a discrete ring has been realized.

#### Spectrum

For each eigenstate  $|E_{\alpha}\rangle$  we calculate the bond averaged current and the one-body reduced probability matrix:



1/S = # of participating orbitals. 1/S = 1 means a coherent state. 1/S = M is a maximum fragmentation.

Vortex state = Condensation in momentum orbital. Self-trapped state = Condensation in site orbital.

- ► We challenge the application of traditional BdG analysis to low-dimensional superfluid circuits.
- We have highlighted a novel type of superfluidity that is supported by irregular or chaotic or breathing vortex states. ► In a larger perspective we emphasize that the role of chaos should be recognized in the analysis of superfluidity. Furthermore we believe that a global understanding of the mixed phase-space structure is essential in order to analyse

# **References**

[1] G. Arwas, A. Vardi, D. Cohen (PRA 2014) [2] G. Arwas, A. Vardi, D. Cohen (arXiv 2014)

dynamical processes such as phase-slips.

