Quantum Stochastic Transport Along Chains

Dekel Shapira, Doron Cohen [1]

Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer Sheva, Israel



Scope

- Consider a particle hopping on a tight-binding *chain* or *ring* in an Ohmic environment.
- The particle can hop due to both stochastic and coherent transitions.
- ► We find a non-monotonic dependence of the current (I) on the bias (E).
- We highlight counter-intuitive enhancement of disorder due to coherent hopping.

The Model

The **dynamics** is generated by a master equation for the probability matrix (Ohmic master equation):

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = \mathcal{L}\rho = -i[\mathbf{H},\rho] + \mathcal{L}^{(\mathsf{B})}\rho$$

Coherent transitions – tight-binding Hamiltonian:

Non-Equilibrium Steady State and Current

The current is obtained by solving the steady state in first order in η , and applying $I[\rho]$.

$$\rho^{(\mathsf{NESS})} = \frac{1}{L} \left(1 + \alpha_0 e^{+i\boldsymbol{p}} + \alpha_0^* e^{-i\boldsymbol{p}} \right)$$
$$\alpha_0 = \frac{3\nu - i\mathcal{E}}{3\nu^2 + \mathcal{E}^2} \eta c$$

NESS current is **non-monotonic**:

$$I_x = \frac{1}{L} \left((\omega_x^+ - \omega_x^-) + c \operatorname{Im}(\alpha_0) \right) = \frac{1}{L} \left[1 + \frac{c^2}{6\nu^2 + 2\mathcal{E}^2} \right] 2\eta \mathcal{E}$$



Disordered Enhanced-Current

Disorder may **increase** the current for the same total bias.

Adding disorder:

$$\mathcal{E}_x = [-\sigma_{\mathcal{E}}, \sigma_{\mathcal{E}}] + \mathcal{E}$$

The non-monotonicity of $I(\mathcal{E})$ explains the enhanced current. A rough estimate:



$$\boldsymbol{H} = U(\boldsymbol{x}) - c\cos(\boldsymbol{p}) = -\mathcal{E}\boldsymbol{\hat{x}} - c\cos(\boldsymbol{p})$$

Stochastic transitions – each bond x is coupled to a bath of intensity ν and temperature T, resulting in a dissipator:

$$\mathcal{L}^{(\mathsf{B})}\rho = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{x} \left(\nu[\mathbf{W}_{x}, [\mathbf{W}_{x}, \rho]] + \eta i[\mathbf{W}_{x}, \{\mathbf{V}_{x}, \rho\}]\right) + O(\eta^{2})$$
$$\mathbf{W}_{x} = \mathbf{D}_{x} + \mathbf{D}_{x}^{\dagger} \quad \mathbf{V}_{x} = i[\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{W}_{x}]$$
$$\mathbf{D}_{x} \equiv |x+1\rangle\langle x| \quad \eta = \nu/(2T)$$

Adding **bias** generates non-symmetric stochastic transitions:

 $\omega_x^{\pm} = \nu \left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{E}_x}{2T} \right)$ $\frac{\omega^-}{\omega^+} \approx e^{-\mathcal{E}_x/T} \text{ (Boltzmann)} \quad \mathcal{E}_x \equiv -\left(U(x+1) - U(x)\right)$

Mixed type transitions – There are many other transitions. For example " $c\eta$ " terms and " ν " terms that couple off-diagonal elements to diagonal and off-diagonal elements of ρ .

$oldsymbol{V}_x = i \mathcal{E}_x \left(oldsymbol{D}_x^\dagger - oldsymbol{D}_x ight) - i rac{c}{2} \left[(oldsymbol{D}_{x+1} oldsymbol{D}_x - oldsymbol{D}_x oldsymbol{D}_{x-1}) - h.c ight]$

Dynamics of the Pauli-type Master Equation

As a "first-order" approximation one can drop the coupling between the diagonal and off diagonal terms of ρ in the dissipator $\mathcal{L}^{(B)}$ – obtaining a Pauli-like master equation.

$$\mathcal{L}^{(\mathsf{B},\mathsf{Pauli})}\rho = -(\omega^{+} + \omega^{-})\rho + \sum_{x} \left(\omega^{+} \boldsymbol{D}_{x}^{\dagger} \rho \boldsymbol{D}_{x} + \omega^{-} \boldsymbol{D}_{x} \rho \boldsymbol{D}_{x}^{\dagger}\right)$$

Relaxation Spectrum for the Clean Ring

The Lindblad operator for a uniform field $\mathcal{E}_x = \mathcal{E}$ is translation invariant.

Block-diagonal in Fourier: $\rho_q(r) = FT[\rho_x(r)]$ ($\rho_x(r) \equiv \langle x | \rho | x + r \rangle$)

Schematic transitions for a given $q \ (q \ll 1)$:



Eigenvalues for
$$q = 0$$
 and $\eta = 0$ ($\mathcal{L}\rho = -\lambda\rho$):
 $\lambda_{q=0,0} = 0$ (NESS)
 $\lambda_{q=0,\pm} = 2\nu \pm \sqrt{\nu^2 - \mathcal{E}^2}$
 $\lambda_{q=0,s} = 2\nu + i\mathcal{E}s, (s = \pm 2, \pm 3, ...)$





Effective Disorder

Increasing the hopping (c), for a given disorder, **increases** the effect of disorder on the relaxation spectrum.

Using a three-band model:



Reducing to an effective one-band:

$$H_{\text{eff}}(\lambda) = H_0 + W' G(\lambda) W$$

Resulting in a tight-binding probability-conserving model, with an added **Hermitian** disorder:

$$w_n^{\pm} = \nu + \nu_n \pm \eta \mathcal{E}_n$$
$$\nu_n = \frac{c^2}{2} \frac{\nu - \lambda}{(2\nu - \lambda)^2 + \mathcal{E}^2 - \nu^2}$$

c = 0: Take $\rho(t=0)$ Gaussian with momentum k_0 , then $\rho(t)$ at the Wigner picture is

$$\begin{split} \rho_w(R,P,t) &= e^{-\gamma_0 t} \left[G^c(R,P) - G^0(R,P) \right] + G^t(R,P) \\ G^c(R,P) &= \frac{2}{L} \mathsf{exp} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{R^2}{\sigma_0^2} - (P - k_0)^2 \sigma_0^2 \right) \\ G^t(R,P) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma_0^2 + 2Dt}} \mathsf{exp} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(R - vt)^2}{\sigma_0^2 + 2Dt} \right) \end{split}$$

With drift and diffusion:

 $v = (\omega^+ - \omega^-) = 2\eta \mathcal{E}$ $D = (\omega^+ + \omega^-)/2 = \nu$

 $c \neq 0$: Solving $\mathcal{L}\rho = -\lambda\rho$. The relaxation eigenvalues are [2]

 $\lambda_{q,0} = \gamma_0 - \sqrt{\gamma_q^2 - 4c^2 \sin^2(q/2)}$ $\gamma_q = \gamma + \omega^+ e^{-iq} + \omega^- e^{iq}$

Drift is the same. Diffusion gains another term:

$$D = \frac{1}{2}(\omega^{+} + \omega^{-}) + \frac{c^{2}}{2\gamma_{0}} = \nu + \frac{(c/2)^{2}}{\nu + (\gamma/2)^{2}}$$

In this approximation D is independent of \mathcal{E} .

Expression for the Current

To express the current, the system is partitioned at the n-th bond. Define:

η -Correction for the Diffusion

The diffusion in zero order in η satisfies FDT: $v = D(\mathcal{E}/T)$. What is the η -correction for the diffusion?

Naive treatment – The Drude type term in the expression for the diffusion is $\langle v_k^2 \rangle \tau$. For finite *T* and $\mathcal{E} = 0$:

$$\left\langle v_k^2 \right\rangle = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} [c\sin(k)]^2 p(k) dk \approx \left[1 - \frac{1}{8} (\beta c)^2\right] \frac{c^2}{2}$$



Exact treatment – Diffusion is obtained from the eigenvalues:

$$\lambda_{q,0} = ivq + Dq^2$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & c^2 \end{bmatrix} c$$

$$(\Delta \nu - \Lambda) + c_n - \nu$$

The spectrum becomes complex when the inverse localization length (κ) of the corresponding Hermitian matrix is smaller than $\eta \mathcal{E}$:

$$\kappa(\lambda) \approx \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\sigma_{\perp}}{\nu}\right)^2 \frac{\lambda}{\nu}$$

Choosing a representative point in the spectrum ($\lambda = 2$):

$$w_n \approx \nu \frac{c^2}{2(\nu^2 - \mathcal{E}^2)} \left(1 + B\delta_n + C\delta_n^2 \right)$$

$$\sigma_{\perp}^2 = \operatorname{Var}(w_n) \approx \left(\frac{c^2\nu}{2(\nu^2 - \mathcal{E}^2)} \right)^2 \left(C_2 \sigma_{\mathcal{E}}^2 + C_4 \sigma_{\mathcal{E}}^4 \right)$$

The spectrum becomes real because of the Hermitian disorder $\sim c^2$ which is independent of temperature.



The classical-relaxation spectrum with disorder. The green-diamond and blue-x correspond to c=0 and c=2. The red-dot and grey-line are the three-band and one-band approximations. ($L=31, \mathcal{E}=2, \sigma_{\mathcal{E}}=1.5, \nu=1, \eta=0.01$).

$$Q = \sum_{x>n} |x\rangle \langle x|$$

The current flowing from left to right is $I = \langle \dot{Q} \rangle = \text{Tr}[Q \mathcal{L} \rho]$:

 $I = \vec{I} - \vec{I} + c \operatorname{Im}[\rho_n(1)] + O(\eta^2)$





Conclusions

- 1. The NESS current is the sum of stochastic and quasi-coherent terms.
- 2. It displays non-monotonic dependence on the bias, due to crossover from Drude-type to hopping-type transport.
- 3. Disorder may increase the current due to convex property.
- 4. The interplay of stochastic and coherent transitions is reflected in the relaxation spectrum.
- 5. In the presence of disorder the quasi-coherent transitions enhance the localization of the relaxation modes.

References

[1] D. Shapira and D. Cohen (arXiv:1907.01993)
[2] M. Esposito and P. Gaspard (J. Stat. Phys. 121, 463 (2005))



https://physics.bgu.ac.il/~dekels/