BEC dynamics in few site systems

Maya Chuchem [1,3] Ben-Gurion University

Collaborations:

Doron Cohen (BGU/Phys) [1,2,3] Tsampikos Kottos (Wesleyan) [3] Katrina Smith-Mannschott (Wesleyan / Gottingen) [3] Moritz Hiller (Freiburg) [3] Amichay Vardi (BGU/Chem) [1,2,3] Erez Boukobza (BGU/Chem) [1,2]

[1] Occupation dynamics & fluctuations (PRL 2009)

[2] Dynamical phase locking of BECs (PRA 2009)

[3] Semiclassical analysis & quantum dynamics (arXiv 2010)

Thanks: Isaac Israel Alexander Stotland Itamar Sela Yoav Etzioni \$BSF, \$DIP, \$FOR760



The model

The Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian (BHH) for a dimer

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i=1,2} \left[\mathcal{E}_i \hat{n}_i + \frac{U}{2} \hat{n}_i (\hat{n}_i - 1) \right] - \frac{K}{2} (\hat{a}_2^{\dagger} \hat{a}_1 + \hat{a}_1^{\dagger} \hat{a}_2)$$

K = hopping U = interaction $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_1 = bias$

N particles in a double well is like spin j = N/2 system $\mathcal{H} = -\mathcal{E}\hat{J}_z + U\hat{J}_z^2 - K\hat{J}_x + \text{const}$

 $\hat{J}_z = (N/2)\cos(\theta) = \hat{n} = \text{occupation difference}$ $\hat{J}_x \approx (N/2)\sin(\theta)\cos(\varphi), \qquad \underline{\varphi} = \text{relative phase}$



 $(J_x, J_y, J_z) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (\theta, \varphi) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (n, \varphi)$

Phase space analysis

 $\mathcal{H} = -\mathcal{E}\hat{J}_z + U\hat{J}_z^2 - K\hat{J}_x \qquad u \equiv \frac{NU}{K}, \quad \varepsilon \equiv \frac{\mathcal{E}}{K}$ $\mathcal{H}(\theta,\varphi) = \frac{NK}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2}u(\cos\theta)^2 - \varepsilon\cos\theta - \sin\theta\cos\varphi\right] \text{ spherical phase space}$ $\mathcal{H}(\hat{n},\varphi) = (\text{similar to Josephson/pendulum Hamiltonian}) \quad \text{cylindrical}$



$$\varepsilon_c = (u^{2/3} - 1)^{3/2}$$

 $A_c \approx 4\pi (1 - u^{-2/3})^{3/2}$

Rabi regime: u < 1 (no islands) Josephson regime: $1 < u < N^2$ Fock regime: $u > N^2$ (empty sea)

WKB quantization



Typical preparations and their LDOS



Numerical simulations - analysis of the evolution

MeanField theory (GPE) = classical evolution of a point in phase space SemiClassical theory = classical evolution of a distribution in phase space Quantum theory = recurrences, fluctuations (WKB is very good)



Any operator \hat{A} can be presented by the phase-space function $A_{\rm W}(\Omega) = \text{trace}[\hat{P}^{\Omega} \hat{\rho}]$ where the projector-like operators obey: $\int \frac{d\Omega}{h} \hat{P}^{\Omega} = \hat{\mathbf{1}}$ and for Hermitian operators $A_{\rm W} \in \mathcal{R}$

The expectation value: $\langle \hat{A} \rangle = \text{trace}[\hat{\rho} \ \hat{A}] = \int \frac{d\Omega}{h} \rho_{W}(\Omega) A_{W}(\Omega)$

Recurrences and fluctuations

 $\vec{S} = \left\langle \vec{J} \right\rangle / j \quad , \quad j = \frac{N}{2}$ OccupationDiff = $(N/2) \left[1 + S_z\right]$ OneBodyPurity = $(1/2) \left[1 + S_x^2 + S_y^2 + S_z^2\right]$ FringeVisibility = $\left[S_x^2 + S_y^2\right]^{1/2}$ RelativePhase = $\arctan(S_x/S_y)$





 $SC - \cdot, QM -$

Temporal behavior & the spectral content of S_x



The spectral content of S_x



Fluctuations of S_x



$$\overline{S_x} \approx \exp\left[-\frac{1}{4}(u/N)\right] \qquad [\text{Zero}]$$

$$\overline{S_x} \approx -1 - 4/\log\left[\frac{1}{32}(u/N)\right] \qquad [\text{Pi}]$$

$$\overline{S_x} \approx 1/3 \qquad [\text{TwinFock}]$$

$$\text{RMS}\left[\langle A \rangle_t\right] = \left[\frac{1}{M} \int \tilde{C}_{c1}(\omega) d\omega\right]^{1/2}, \quad \left[\frac{M}{\sqrt{N}} \sim f\left(\sqrt{\frac{u}{N}}\right)\right]$$

$$\text{RMS}\left[S_x(t)\right] \sim N^{-1/4} \qquad [\text{Edge}]$$

$$\text{RMS}\left[S_x(t)\right] \sim (\log(N))^{-1/2} \qquad [\text{Pi}]$$

"Zero" Coherence maintained if u/N < 1 (phase locking).

"Pi" Fluctuations are suppressed by u.

"Edge" Fluctuations are suppressed by N (classical limit).

"TwinFock" Self induced coherence leading to $\overline{S_x} \approx 1/3$.

The semiclassical ratio $\sim (u/N)^{1/2}$

Summary

- One-particle coherence loss and buildup analysis using semiclassical phase-space picture.
- The simplicity of BHH allows semi-analytic WKB quantization.
- Closed semiclassical results for LDOS provide useful insights for the quantum evolution.
- The long-time fringe visibility of an initially coherent state in the Josephson interaction regime, has a u/N (semi-classical ratio) dependent value.
- The Zero prep remains roughly Gaussian throughout its motion, a Pi prep squeezes rapidly and its relative-phase information is lost.
- Two types of coherent preparations in the vicinity of the separatrix show significant differences in their M dependence on (u; N).
- The Pi prep preparation exhibits u dependent fluctuations, whereas the Edge prep exhibits N dependent fluctuations.



Thank You