Nonequilibrium version of the Einstein relation

Daniel Hurowitz, Ben-Gurion University



D. Hurowitz and D. Cohen, Phys. Rev. E 90, 032129 (2014)

Overview: Nonequilibrium steady state of sparse systems

Energy absorption



Nontrivial topology





Quantum saturation of effective temperature [1]



Sinai regime - current changes sign [2]



Current distribution reflects barrier statistics [3]

[1] D. Hurowitz and D. Cohen, Europhysics Letters, 93, 60002 (2011)

[2] D. Hurowitz, S. Rahav and D. Cohen, Europhysics Letters, 98, 20002 (2012)

[3] D. Hurowitz, S. Rahav and D. Cohen, Phys. Rev. E, 88, 062141 (2013)

Brownian motion

The Einstein-Smoluchowski Relation (ESR):

$$D = \mu k_B T, \qquad k_B = 1$$

Relation between mobility (μ) and diffusion (D) reflecting microscopics (k_B) in universal way.

This is a special case of a fluctuation-dissipation relation between first and second moments.

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Drift:} && \langle x \rangle = vt, \qquad v = \mu F \\ &\text{Diffusion:} && \mathrm{Var}(x) = 2Dt \\ &\text{ESR:} && \frac{v}{D} = \frac{F}{T} \equiv s = \text{affinity (linear response)} \end{aligned}$$

$s \equiv$ entropy-production-per-distance

FDT is valid close to equilibrium.

To what extent does the ESR hold?

Can it be derived from the NFT?

Non-equilibrium version?

Sinai spreading

Stochastic field: $\mathcal{S}_n \equiv \ln\left[\frac{\overrightarrow{w}_n}{\overleftarrow{w}_n}\right]$, $\sigma = \sqrt{\mathrm{Var}(\mathcal{S}_n)}$



Stochastic Motive Force: $\mathcal{S}_{\circlearrowright} = \sum_{n\in\mathrm{ring}} \ln\left[\frac{\overrightarrow{w}_n}{\overleftarrow{w}_n}\right]$

If $\frac{\overrightarrow{w}_n}{\overleftarrow{w}_n} = \exp\left[-\frac{E_n - E_{n-1}}{T}\right] \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{S}_{\circlearrowright} = 0$

Affinity : $s = \frac{\mathcal{S}_{\circlearrowright}}{N}$



For small s [1]:
Sub-diffusive spreading $x \sim [\log(t)]^2$,
Exponentially small drift $v \sim e^{-\sqrt{N}}$.

For arbitrary s [2,3]:
Complicated expressions for v and D.

For a periodic lattice, no disorder:

$$\frac{v}{D} = \frac{2}{a}\tanh\left(\frac{as}{2}\right)$$

[1] **Sinai** (1982)
[2] **Derrida** (1983)
[3] **Aslangul, Pottier, Saint-James** (1989)

ESR is violated for large s

Observations for finite N

General N



Effective lattice constant ($N = 6$)



Generalized ESR for a given disorder σ

$$\frac{v}{D} = \frac{2}{a_s} \tanh \frac{a_s s}{2}$$

(1) For small values of s we have $v/D = s$, in consistency with the ESR.

(2) For no disorder ($\sigma = 0$) we have $a_s = 1$, reflecting the discreteness of the lattice.

(3) For finite disorder and moderate s we have $a_s \sim N$, reflecting the length of the unit cell.

(4) For finite disorder and large s we have $a_s = a_\infty$, reflecting the disorder σ.

(5) As N becomes larger our results approach those of [2,3], which we call "Sinai step".

Outline

General s dependence	$\frac{v}{D} = \frac{2}{a_s} \tanh \frac{a_s s}{2}$	$a_s : \; N \ldots a_\infty$
Poisson $(s \to \infty)$	$\frac{v}{D} = \frac{2}{a_\infty}$	$a_\infty(\sigma) : \; 1 \ldots N$
ESR $(s \to 0)$	$\frac{v}{D} = s$	

$$\text{Given } (1,\; N,\; \sigma) \qquad\qquad a_s = ?$$

σ is the log-width of the stochastic field distribution

Nonequilibrium Fluctuation Theorem (NFT) derivation of the ESR

Define x as the winding number times the length of the ring.

$$\frac{P\left[x(-t)\right]}{P\left[x(t)\right]} = \exp\left[-\mathcal{S}[x]\right] \qquad \rightsquigarrow \qquad \frac{p(-x;t)}{p(x;t)} = \mathrm{e}^{-sx}$$

Gaussian approximation (**Central Limit Theorem**)

$$p(x;t) \approx \overline{p}(x;t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi D t}} \exp\left[-\frac{(x-vt)^2}{4Dt}\right] \qquad \rightsquigarrow \qquad \frac{v}{D} = s$$

Does the ESR really hold?

NFT and coarse graining

Asymmetric random walk traversing a distance $x = X_1 + ... + X_{\mathcal{N}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(X=+1) &= p \equiv \overrightarrow{w}\tau \\
P(X=-1) &= q \equiv \overleftarrow{w}\tau \\
P(X=0) &= 1-p-q
\end{aligned}
$$

Moment generating function $\quad Z(k) = \langle \mathrm{e}^{-ikx} \rangle = \left[p\mathrm{e}^{-ik} + q\mathrm{e}^{+ik} + (1-p-q)\right]^{\mathcal{N}}$

In the continuous time limit $p, q \ll 1$, $\quad \ln Z(k) = \mathcal{N}\left[p\mathrm{e}^{-ik} + q\mathrm{e}^{+ik} - (p+q)\right] + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}\tau^2)$

Accordingly, one obtains:

$$
p(x;t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk\ \mathrm{e}^{ikx + \left(\overrightarrow{w}\mathrm{e}^{-ik} + \overleftarrow{w}\mathrm{e}^{ik} - (\overleftarrow{w} + \overrightarrow{w})\right)t}
$$

satisfies NFT

Correct application of the CLT:

$$
\overline{p}(x;t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk\ \mathrm{e}^{ik(x-(\overrightarrow{w}-\overleftarrow{w})t) - \frac{k^2}{2}(\overrightarrow{w}+\overleftarrow{w})t + \cancel{\mathcal{O}(k^3 t)}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi D t}} \exp\left[-\frac{(x-vt)^2}{4Dt}\right]
$$

$$
v = \overrightarrow{w} - \overleftarrow{w}\ , \quad D = \frac{1}{2}(\overrightarrow{w} + \overleftarrow{w}) \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \frac{v}{D} = \overline{s} = \frac{2}{a}\tanh\frac{as}{2}
$$

The affinity is renormalized!

The naive reasoning, based on CLT, is wrong, If we smear $p(x)$ we get

$$
\frac{\overline{p}(-x;t)}{\overline{p}(x;t)} = \mathrm{e}^{-\overline{s}x}
$$

Recipe for computing v and D on a periodic array

Dynamics determined by rate equation: $(d/dt)\boldsymbol{p} = W\boldsymbol{p}$

W is not symmetric yet periodic, thus Bloch's theorem applies.

Reduced equation for the eigenmodes $\boldsymbol{W}(\varphi)\psi = -\lambda\psi$, where $\boldsymbol{W}(\varphi)$ is an $N \times N$ matrix.

Bloch's theorem: $\psi_{n+N} = \mathrm{e}^{i\varphi}\psi_n$, where n is the site index mod(N).

Bloch quasi-momentum $\varphi \equiv kN$.

Diagonalizing $\boldsymbol{W}(\varphi) \ \leadsto \ \{|k,\nu\rangle, -\lambda_\nu(k)\}$, where ν is the band index.

Time dependent solution of the rate equation

$$p_n(t) \quad \approx \quad \frac{1}{L}\sum_{k,\nu} C_{k,\nu}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_\nu(k)t}\, \mathrm{e}^{ikn} \quad \text{where } C_{k,\nu} \text{ depend on initial conditions.}$$

In the long time limit only λ_0 survives

$$v \quad = \quad i\frac{\partial\lambda_0(k)}{\partial k}\bigg|_{k=0}$$

$$D \quad = \quad \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2\lambda_0(k)}{\partial k^2}\bigg|_{k=0}$$

The Poisson Limit $(s \to \infty)$

The limit $s \to \infty$ corresponds to a uni-directional random walk traversing a distance $x = X_1 + \ldots + X_{\mathcal{N}}$

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_n = 1) &= w_n \tau \\ P(X_n = 0) &= 1 - w_n \tau \\ P(X_n = -1) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$



Effective lattice constant $(N = 6)$

Characteristic polynomial for eigenvalues of $\boldsymbol{W}(\varphi)$

$$\det(\lambda + \boldsymbol{W}(\varphi)) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} (\lambda - w_n) + \mathrm{e}^{-i\varphi} \prod_{n=1}^{N} w_n = 0$$

Expanding to second order in λ and φ

$$\lambda = -i \left[\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{w_n} \right)^{-1} \right] \varphi + \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{w_n} \right)^{-3} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{w_n^2} \right) \right] \varphi^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varphi^3)$$

From the recipe for v and D:

$$a_\infty = \left(\frac{2D}{v} \right)_{s \to \infty} = \left[\frac{\langle (1/\overrightarrow{w})^2 \rangle}{\langle (1/\overrightarrow{w}) \rangle^2} \right] = \text{[For log-box distribution]} = \frac{\sigma}{2} \coth\left(\frac{\sigma}{2} \right)$$

Reminder: Observations for finite N





Generalized ESR for a given disorder σ

$$\frac{v}{D} = \frac{2}{a_s}\tanh\frac{a_s s}{2}$$

(1) For small values of s we have $v/D = s$, in consistency with the ESR.

(2) For no disorder ($\sigma = 0$) we have $a_s = 1$, reflecting the discreteness of the lattice.

(3) For finite disorder and moderate s we have $a_s \sim N$, reflecting the length of the unit cell.

(4) For finite disorder and large s we have $a_s = a_\infty$, reflecting the disorder σ.

(5) As N becomes larger our results approach those of [2,3], which we call "Sinai step".

Spreading analysis and the "Sinai step"

$$\left\langle \left(\frac{\overleftarrow{w}}{\overrightarrow{w}} \right)^{\mu} \right\rangle \equiv \mathrm{e}^{-(s-s_\mu)\mu} \qquad \text{[defines } s_\mu \text{]}$$

The values $s_{1/2}$, s_1 and s_2 determine crossover points between transport regimes.

For $s = 0$, anomalous time dependent spreading [Sinai],

$$x \sim [\log(t)]^2 \qquad \rightsquigarrow \qquad v \sim e^{-\sqrt{N}}$$

For finite $s < s_1$ [Bouchaud, Comtet, Georges, Le Doussal, 1987],

$$x \sim t^{\mu} \qquad [\ \mu \text{ is the value for which } s_\mu = s\ ]$$

Time required to drift $x \sim N$ is $t \sim N^{1/\mu}$, hence

$$v \sim \frac{x}{t} \sim \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}-1}$$

Crossover at $s = s_{1/2}$ from sub-Ohmic to super-Ohmic behaviour .

For large $s > s_1$ and $N \to \infty$ [Derrida],

$$v_s = \frac{1 - \langle (\overleftarrow{w}/\overrightarrow{w}) \rangle}{\langle (1/\overrightarrow{w}) \rangle} = \left[1 - \mathrm{e}^{-(s-s_1)}\right] v_\infty$$

The affinity dependent length scale a_s

From "Derrida" we have an expression for
v in the $N \to \infty$ limit.



From our reasoning we have in general

$$\frac{v}{D} = \frac{2}{a_s} \tanh \frac{a_s s}{2} \quad \text{with some} \quad a_s.$$

By "reverse engineering" we deduce

$$\begin{cases} a_s \sim N, & s < s_2 \\ a_s \approx \frac{a_\infty}{1-\langle(\overleftarrow{w}/\overrightarrow{w})^2\rangle} = \frac{a_\infty}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-2(s-s_2)}}, & s > s_2 \end{cases}$$

s regime	$[0, 1/N]$	$[1/N, s_{1/2}]$	$[s_{1/2}, s_1]$	$[s_1, s_2]$	$[s_2, \infty]$
a_s	irrelevant	$a_s \sim N$			$a_s \approx \left[1 - \mathrm{e}^{-2(s-s_2)}\right]^{-1} a_\infty$
v_s	$v = 2D\, s$	$\sim \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}-1}$		$v_s \approx \left[1 - \mathrm{e}^{-(s-s_1)}\right] v_\infty$	
D	$\sim \exp\left(-\sqrt{N}\right)$	$\sim \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}-2}$	$\sim (N)^{2-\frac{1}{\mu}}$	$\sim N$	$D = \frac{1}{2} a_s v_s$

Summary

To what extent does the ESR hold?

As long as $s < 1/N$.

Can it be derived from the NFT?

Yes, provided s is replaced by coarse grained $\bar{s}$.

Non-equilibrium version?

$$\begin{cases} v \sim \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}-1}, & s < s_1 \\ v \approx \left[1 - \mathrm{e}^{-(s-s_1)}\right] v_\infty & s > s_1 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} a_s \sim N, & s < s_2 \\ a_s \approx \frac{a_\infty}{1-\left\langle(\overleftarrow{w}/\overrightarrow{w})^2\right\rangle} = \frac{a_\infty}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-2(s-s_2)}}, & s > s_2 \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{v}{D} = \frac{2}{a_s} \tanh \frac{a_s s}{2}$$

Epilog: Experiments

- S.H. Lee and D. G. Grier, **Giant Colloidal Diffusivity on Corrugated Optical Vortices**, PRL 2006
- Giorgio Volpe, Giovanni Volpe, Sylvain Gigan, **Brownian Motion in a Speckle Light Field: Tunable Anomalous Diffusion and Selective Optical Manipulation**, Scientific Reports 2014
- S. Nakamura, Y. Yamauchi, M. Hashisaka, K. Chida, K. Kobayashi, T. Ono, R. Leturcq, K. Ensslin, K. Saito, Y. Utsumi, A.C. Gossard, **Nonequilibrium fluctuation relations in a quantum coherent conductor**, PRL 2010
- B. Kung, C. Rossler, M. Beck, M. Marthaler, D. S. Golubev, Y. Utsumi, T. Ihn, and K. Ensslin **Irreversibility on the Level of Single-Electron Tunneling**, PRX 2012