Non-equilibrium version of the Einstein relation

Daniel Hurowitz, Doron Cohen

Department of Physics, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva, Israel

The celebrated Einstein relation between the diffusion coefficient D and the drift velocity v is violated in non-equilibrium circumstances. We analyze how this violation emerges for the simplest example of a Brownian motion on a lattice, taking into account the interplay between the periodicity, the randomness and the asymmetry of the transition rates. Based on the non-equilibrium fluctuation theorem the v/D ratio is found to be a non-linear function of the entropy production, that depends in a non-trivial way on the microscopics of the sample. In practice it is implied that v/D transport measurements can be used in order to extract information on the underlying network.

The Einstein-Smoluchowski relation (ESR) [1, 2] between the diffusion coefficient (D) and the mobility (μ) of a Brownian particle is a landmark in the history of statistical mechanics. It states that $D = \mu k_B T$, where Tis the temperature, and k_B is the Boltzmann constant. Thus it reflects the microscopics of the stochastic process (via k_B) in a very universal way. Below we set $k_B = 1$.

The ESR constitues the simplest example for a fluctuation-disspation relation. In a modern perspective it can be regarded as a consequence of a general non-equilibrium fluctuation theorem (NFT) [3–6] that concerns the evolving probability distribution p(x;t) that describes the stochastic motion of the particle. The ESR is in essence a relation between the second moment of the spreading $\operatorname{Var}(x) = 2Dt$, and its first moment $\langle x \rangle = vt$, where $v = \mu F$ is the drift velocity, and F is the field of force. Using this language it can be re-written as follows:

$$\frac{v}{D} = f_{\sigma}(s) \tag{1}$$

where s = F/T is the entropy production per unit distance, and $f_{\sigma}(s) = s$ is a universal function that does not depend on the microscopic details of the sample.

Model of interest.– We shall consider below the dynamics of a particle on an N site ring of length $a^{(N)} = Na^{(0)}$, with transition rates \vec{w}_n and \overleftarrow{w}_n across the n^{th} bond. In general the transition rates are random and asymmetric. In previous publications [7, 8] we have highlighted the relevance of Sinai spreading [9] to the analysis of the induced v. Optionally one may have in mind the unfolded version of our ring. The latter concerns the motion of a Brownian particle in a tilted periodic array of identically disordered unit cells.

Previous studies.— The dramatic influence of a tilt on the transport in a one-dimensional biased *periodic* potential has been explored experimentally for a colloidal particle on a corrugated optical vortex [10, 11], and has been exploited for optical particle fractionation and separation [12–14]. Explicit expressions for v and for D for the case of a tilted cosine potential were first given in [15–18] and further generalised in [19–22].

Several works have studied the effect of weak spatial *disorder* on the non-linear bias dependence of the transport coefficients [23–25]. Tractable expressions for v and for D were available for a completely disordered lattice $(N = \infty)$ [26, 27]. The prediction is that for small s one

obtains v = 0. This anomaly is related to the work of Sinai [9] regarding random walk in random environment.

Strangely enough there was no attempt, as far as we know, to bridge between the implied v/D dependence on s, and the ESR that is expected close to equilibrium. Furthermore there was no attempt to settle what looks like a contradiction with the NFT-based derivation of the ESR, which relies on the central limit theorem. It is the purpose of the present work to illuminate the departure from the ESR, and to explore the route that leads to the $N = \infty$ Sinai anomaly.

For completeness we note that extensions of the fluctuation-dissipation phenomenology far from equilibrium have been considered in [28–31], but from a different perspective. In [32] it has been pointed out that a violation of the ESR is expected in a Markovian network, however this has not been explicitly demonstrated for a model of interest, neither related to the Sinai anomaly.

NFT based derivation. The NFT relates the probability of a stochastic trajectory $\boldsymbol{r}(t)$ to the probability of the time reversed process:

$$\frac{P[\boldsymbol{r}(-t)]}{P[\boldsymbol{r}(t)]} = \exp\left[-\mathcal{S}[\boldsymbol{r}]\right]$$
(2)

where $S[\mathbf{r}]$ is the entropy production that is associated with the trajectory. The implicit assumption here is that $S[\mathbf{r}]$ is well defined. This is a very strong assumption because in an actual experiment S might depend on additional "hidden" microscopic coordinates that cannot be resolved by the measuring device. This can be summarized by saying that coarse-graining might make the "bare" NFT inapplicable: possibly an effective version of $S[\mathbf{r}]$ should be defined [33]. In our model $S[\mathbf{r}]$ is a well defined functional, still we shall see later that in some sense s is renormalized due to coarse-graining.

We proceed with a critical overview of the the derivation of the traditional ESR based on Eq.(2). The entropy production during one trip around the ring is

$$\mathcal{S}_{\circlearrowright} = \sum_{n \in \text{ring}} \ln \left[\frac{\overrightarrow{w}_n}{\overleftarrow{w}_n} \right]$$
(3)

In the context of molecular motors this is known as the affinity. The entropy production for a general trajectory that has a winding number q is $S[r] = q \times S_{\bigcirc}$. We

disregard here small fractional-loop error that can be neglected in the infinite time limit. We define formally the distance as $x = qa^{(N)}$, and the entropy per unit distance as $s = S_{\bigcirc}/a^{(N)}$. If follows from Eq.(2) that the evolving probability distribution satisfies

$$\frac{p(-x;t)}{p(x;t)} = e^{-sx} \tag{4}$$

In the long time limit, by virtue of the central limit theorem (CLT), one can introduce a Gaussian approximation $p(x) \approx \overline{p}(x)$, where

$$\overline{p}(x;t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi Dt}} \exp\left[-\frac{\left(x-vt\right)^2}{4Dt}\right]$$
(5)

Substitution in Eq.(4) leads to the standard ESR, namely v/D = s. Below we are going to argue that the last step should be handled with much more care. The coarse-grained distribution $\overline{p}(x)$ that appears in the CLT, is in fact a convoluted ("smoothed") version of the bare p(x). If follows that $\overline{p}(x)$ obeys a "dressed" version of Eq.(4), with effective affinity $\overline{s} = f_{\sigma}(s)$, where

$$f_{\sigma}(s) = \frac{2}{a_s} \tanh\left(\frac{a_s s}{2}\right) \tag{6}$$

The length scale a_s is related to the microscopic details of the model. We first clarify this statement for the simplest case of a non-disordered ring, for which $a_s = a^{(0)}$ is the lattice constant, and later discuss the general case.

Effective s for periodic lattice.— Consider the simplest discrete model with asymmetry. All the bonds are identical; the transition rates from left to right are \vec{w} , and the transition rates from right to left are \overleftarrow{w} . Hence it follows from Eq.(3) that $S_{\bigcirc} = \ln(\vec{w}/\vec{w})$. Without loss of generality we set the units such that $a^{(0)}=1$. It is not difficult to find the exact expression for the the evolving probability distribution p(x;t). Following the standard procedure (see SM [a]) one obtains

$$p(x;t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \, \mathrm{e}^{ikx + \left(\overrightarrow{w} \mathrm{e}^{-ik} + \overleftarrow{w} \mathrm{e}^{ik} - \left(\overleftarrow{w} + \overrightarrow{w}\right)\right)t} \tag{7}$$

This distribution obviously satisfies the NFT Eq. (4), which can be easily verified. Expanding the expression in the exponent in powers of k one obtains

$$p(x;t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \, \mathrm{e}^{ik(x - (\overrightarrow{w} - \overleftarrow{w})t) - \frac{k^2}{2}(\overrightarrow{w} + \overleftarrow{w})t + \mathcal{O}(k^3t)} \quad (8)$$

The average vt and the variance 2Dt are implied by the coefficients of the k and k^2 terms in the exponent, namely

$$v = (\overleftarrow{w} - \overrightarrow{w}) \tag{9}$$

$$D = \frac{1}{2} \left(\overleftarrow{w} + \overrightarrow{w} \right) \tag{10}$$

The v/D ratio is given by Eq.(6) with $a = a^{(0)} = 1$. We now take one step back and apply the CLT before We conclude that the normal approximation for p(x;t), which is implied by CLT, obeys the NFT provided s is replaced by a renormalized value \bar{s} . The reason for that is as follows: The CLT procedure is the same as cutting off the high k modes, which is the same as smoothing the function p(x,t). Due to the smoothing the effective value of s becomes smaller.

Diffusion in a disordered lattice.- We now turn to describe a general procedure for exact calculation of vand D. Any rate equation can be written schematically as $d\mathbf{p}/dt = W\mathbf{p}$, where $\mathbf{p} = \{p_n\}$ is a column vector that contains the occupation probabilities, and W is a matrix that contains the transition rates. Note that this matrix is non-symmetric, hence one should distinguish between right and left eigenvectors. If the lattice is periodic, with a unit cell that consists of N sites, the eigenevectors satisfy the Bloch theorem. The reduced equation for the eigenmodes becomes $\boldsymbol{W}(\varphi)\psi = -\lambda\psi$, where $\boldsymbol{W}(\varphi)$ is an $N \times N$ matrix, and the presence of the phase φ implies that $\psi_{n+N} = e^{i\varphi}\psi_n$, where *n* is the site index mod(*N*). The Bloch quasi-momentum is formally defined via the relation $\varphi \equiv ka^{(N)}$. The outcome of the diagonalization process are the Bloch state $|k, \nu\rangle$, where ν is the band index, and the corresponding eigenvalues are $-\lambda_{\nu}(k)$. The bottom line is that the time dependent solution of the rate equation can be written as

$$p_n(t) \approx \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k,\nu} C_{k,\nu} e^{-\lambda_\nu(k)t} e^{ikn}$$
 (11)

where $C_{k,\nu}$ are constants that depend on the initial preparation. For technical details see SM [a]. The long time spreading is dominated by the lowest band $\nu = 0$. It is not difficult to show (see [a]) that the drift velocity and the diffusion coefficient are determined by the derivatives of $\lambda_0(k)$. Namely,

$$v = i \frac{\partial \lambda_0(k)}{\partial k} \Big|_{k=0}$$
(12)

$$D = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \lambda_0(k)}{\partial k^2} \bigg|_{k=0}$$
(13)

Simple example. As an explicit example for the outcome of this procedure we consider a periodic lattice that has unit cell with N=2 sites. The transition rates $(\overrightarrow{A}, \overrightarrow{A}, \overrightarrow{B}, \overrightarrow{B})$ are characterized by $\ln(\overrightarrow{A}/\overrightarrow{A}) = s + \sigma$ and $\ln(\overrightarrow{B}/\overrightarrow{B}) = s - \sigma$, such that σ is the "disorder" (in a later example σ will stand for the width of a box distribution). The result is

$$v = \frac{\overrightarrow{A}\overrightarrow{B} - \overleftarrow{A}\overleftarrow{B}}{\overrightarrow{A} + \overleftarrow{A} + \overrightarrow{B} + \overleftarrow{B}}$$
(14)



and

$$D = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\overrightarrow{A}\overrightarrow{B} + \overleftarrow{A}\overleftarrow{B} - 2v^2}{\overrightarrow{A} + \overleftarrow{A} + \overrightarrow{B} + \overleftarrow{B}} \right]$$
(15)

The v/D ratio is given by Eq.(1) with

be resolved from the exact result (thin red line).

$$f_{\sigma}(s) = \frac{2}{1 + \tanh^2\left(\frac{\sigma}{2}\right) \tanh^2\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)} \tanh\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \quad (16)$$

This result is compared to a numerical simulation of a random walk in Fig.1, which was obtained by standard simulation methods (Gillespie's algorithm). As s is increased the v/D ratio approaches a limiting value which we define as $2/a_{\infty}$. In Fig.1 we have added a curve of the function Eq.(6) with $a_s = a_{\infty}$. We observe that for practical purpose a_{∞} can be regarded as an effective lattice constant. As the "disorder" σ increases $\tanh^2(\sigma/2)$ grows from 0 to 1, and consequently a_{∞} grows from the value $a^{(0)} = 1$ to the value $a^{(N)} = 2$. In spite of the simplicity of this example we shall see that it provides partial insight with regard to the general N case.

General N.– Let us explore how $f_{\sigma}(s)$ looks like when N becomes larger. Fig. 2 provides a few examples that were calculated analytically using Eq.(12) and Eq.(13) for N=20. The rates were chosen as $\overline{w}_n = e^{S_n/2}$ and $\overline{w}_n = e^{-S_n/2}$, where S_n are box distributed within $[s - \sigma, s + \sigma]$. This implies that the rates have log-box distribution as in "glassy" systems. We observe that $f_{\sigma}(s)$ has some typical properties.

We observe that $f_{\sigma}(s)$ has some typical properties. Namely: (1) For small values of s we have $f_{\sigma}(s) = s$ in



FIG. 2: The same as Fig.1 but with N=20 sites per unit cell. The upper and lower thick solid lines are for zero disorder $(\sigma=0)$ and for infinite disorder $(\sigma\to\infty)$, as implied by Eq.(6) with a=1 and a=20 respectively. The thin solid curves are based on exact analytical calculation for various realizations of disorder that is characterized by $\sigma=3.5$. The intermediate thick solid line is Eq.(6) with $a = a_{\infty} = 1.9316$, estimated using Eq.(17). The linear dashed line is the ESR while the second dashed line that exhibits a "Sinai step" is the $N=\infty$ prediction of [26] (see text).

consistency with the ESR. (2) For no disorder ($\sigma = 0$) we already have established that $f_{\sigma}(s)$ obeys Eq.(6) with $a = a^{(0)}$, reflecting the microscopic discreteness of the lattice. (3) For finite disorder we see that for moderate values of s the function $f_{\sigma}(s)$ can be approximated by Eq.(6) with $a_s = a^{(N)}$, reflecting the length of the unit cell. (4) For very large values of s the function $f_{\sigma}(s)$ saturates, reflecting an effective lattice constant a_{∞} that we discuss in the "Poisson limit" paragraph below. (5) As N becomes larger our results approach those of [26], as discussed below in the "Completely disordered lattice" paragraph.

The Poisson limit. – Going to the extreme of very large s it is possible to get a simple analytical expression for the asymptotic value a_{∞} . Setting $\vec{w}_n = w_n$ and $\overleftarrow{w}_n = 0$, the characteristic equation becomes [a]

$$\det(\lambda + \boldsymbol{W}(\varphi)) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} (\lambda - w_n) + e^{-i\varphi} \prod_{n=1}^{N} w_n = 0$$

The Taylor expanded solution is

$$\lambda = -i \left[\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{w_n} \right)^{-1} \right] \varphi + \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{w_n} \right)^{-3} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{w_n^2} \right) \right] \varphi^2$$

Using Eq. (12) and Eq. (13) one deduces the effective lattice constant

$$a_{\infty} = \left(\frac{2D}{v}\right)_{s \to \infty} = \left[\frac{\langle (1/\vec{w})^2 \rangle}{\langle (1/\vec{w}) \rangle^2}\right] a^{(0)} \qquad (17)$$

The sample average is $\langle R \rangle \equiv (1/N) \sum_n R_n$. For large N the sample average can replaced by an ensemble average. Note that the expression in the square brackets constitutes a measure for the "glassiness" of the network: it becomes much larger than unity due to the presence of weak links. For the log-box distributed transition rates of Fig.2

$$a_{\infty} = \frac{\sigma}{2} \coth\left(\frac{\sigma}{2}\right)$$
 (18)

In Fig.3 we test this estimate for N=6. We observe (not shown) that the statistical result Eq.(18) becomes indistinguishable from the exact sample average Eq.(17) for large values of N.

Completely disordered lattice. For a nonperiodic disordered lattice $(N = \infty)$ it has been found [26] that the drift velocity is

$$v = \max\left\{0, \ \frac{1 - \langle (\overleftarrow{w}/\overrightarrow{w}) \rangle}{\langle (1/\overrightarrow{w}) \rangle}\right\}$$
(19)

A lengthy expression is available there for D too. The result of the v/D calculation is displayed in Fig.2. Due to the Sinai anomaly we have v = 0 within a finite range $s < s_c$, hence the ESR is completely violated.

For large but finite N we observe in Fig.2 the remnants of the Sinai anomaly, which we call "Sinai step". The question arises what does it mean "large N". For this purpose let us use a hand-waving argument in order to illuminate the reason for having a vanishingly small drift velocity. In a quasi-equilibrium situation we have $\overrightarrow{w}_n p_n = \overleftarrow{w}_n p_{n+1}$. It follows that $p_n \sim \alpha^n$ where

$$\alpha \equiv \left\langle \frac{\overleftarrow{w}}{\overrightarrow{w}} \right\rangle^{-1} = \frac{\sigma}{\sinh(\sigma)} e^s \qquad (20)$$

Transport into the sample is possible provided $\alpha > 1$, else, if $[\log(1/\alpha)]N \gg 1$, the probability for penetration is blocked. Thus a small *s* regime with vanishingly small drift velocity is feasible if $N \gg a^{(\sigma)}$, where

$$a^{(\sigma)} = \left[\ln\left(\frac{\sinh\sigma}{\sigma}\right)\right]^{-1}$$
 (21)

The dependence of $a^{(\sigma)}$ on σ is illustrated in Fig.3. In the numerics we assume large σ values, so there are remnants of the Sinai anomaly. For weak disorder ($\sigma \ll 1$) only a very large sample will exhibit the "Sinai step" that we see in Fig.2.

Discussion.– In general non-equilibrium circumstances the ESR is not valid. For the model system of interest we write its generalized version as Eq. (1) with Eq. (6), where a_s is an *s* dependent effective scale that depends on microscopics of the sample. It is only in the quasi-equilibrium limit $s \to 0$ that the traditional ESR becomes valid. For a periodic lattice, as the disorder σ is increased, the length-scale a_s grows gradually from the minimal value $a^{(0)}$ (site to site distance) to the maximal



FIG. 3: The effective length scale $a_{\infty} = (2D/v)_{s\to\infty}$. The number of sites per unit cell is N=6. The symbols are the outcome of an exact analytical calculation. The exact sample specific expression Eq. (17) (solid line) works perfectly, independent of N. The statistical approximation Eq. (18) (dashed line) becomes indistinguishable for large values of N(not shown). The dotted line is $a^{(\sigma)}$ of Eq.(21).

value $a^{(N)}$ (the periodicity). For very large s it saturates to the disorder dependent value a_{∞} .

The first impression is that the generalized ESR Eq.(1) with Eq.(6) is very wrong. Naively the ESR should apply also in non-equilibrium circumstances because it can be derived from the NFT assuming CLT. We have explained that the resolution of this puzzle is related to the implicit coarse-graining procedure. Consequently the effective affinity is $\bar{s} = f_{\sigma}(s)$. One wonders what is the "small parameter" on which the ESR is based. Considering Brownian motion on a simple periodic lattice the answer is that the entropy production per unit site should be small ($sa^{(0)} \ll 1$). For a disordered lattice the effective lattice constant a_s becomes larger, and hence the condition becomes more demanding.

In order to understand the s dependence of v/D one should taken into account the interplay of several length scales. In a fully developed scenario one observes two steps: one is related to the periodicity of the sample, and the other to the strength of the disorder. The latter can be regarded as the remnant of the Sinai anomaly.

From an experimental perspective the measurement of v/D can provide us with information about the microscopics of the sample. Contrary to the ESR, there is no universal dependence $f_{\sigma}(s) = s$. Rather $f_{\sigma}(s)$ reflects the details of the underlying lattice. In particular the "glassiness" of the disorder is reflected in the effective lattice constant a_{∞} . Else, in the absence of disorder, it equals the bare lattice constant. Acknowledgments. – This research was supported by the Israel Science Foundation (grant No.29/11). We thank Saar Rahav (Technion) for motivating discussions.

References.-

- [1] A. Einstein, Annalen der Physik 322, 549 (1905).
- [2] M. Smoluchowski, Annalen der Physik 326, 756 (1906).
- [3] J. L. Lebowitz and H. Spohn, J. Stat. Phys. 95, 333 (1999).
- [4] P. Gaspard, J. Chem. Phys., 120, 8898 (2004).
- [5] U. Seifert, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 040602 (2005).
- [6] D. Andrieux and P. Gaspard, J. Stat. Phys., 127, 107 (2007).
- [7] D. Hurowitz, S. Rahav, D. Cohen, Europhys. Lett. 98, 20002 (2012).
- [8] D. Hurowitz, S. Rahav, D. Cohen, Phys. Rev. E. 88, 062141 (2013).
- [9] Ya. G. Sinai, Theory Probab. Appl. 27, 247 (1982).
- [10] S.H. Lee and D.G. Grier, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 190601 (2006).
- [11] Evstigneev, M., Zvyagolskaya, O., Bleil, S., Eichhorn, R., Bechinger, C., and Reimann, P. Phys. Rev. E. 77(4), 041107 (2008).
- [12] MacDonald, M. P., Spalding, G. C., Dholakia, K. Nature, 426(6965), 421-424 (2003).
- [13] Ladavac, K., Kasza, K., Grier, D. G. . Phys. Rev. E 70(1), 010901 (2004).
- [14] Milne, G., Rhodes, D., MacDonald, M., Dholakia, K. Optics letters, 32(9), 1144-1146 (2007).
- [15] H. Risken, The Fokker Planck Equation (Springer, 1984).
- [16] G. Costantini and F. Marchesoni, Europhys. Lett. 48, 491 (1999)
- [17] M. Borromeo and F. Marchesoni, CHAOS 15, 026110 (2005)
- [18] C. Cattuto and F. Marchesoni Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 5070 (1997)
- [19] P. Reimann, C. Van den Broeck, H. Linke, P. Hanggi, J. M. Rubi, and A. Perez-Madrid, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87(1), 010602 (2001).
- [20] P. Reimann, C. Van den Broeck, H. Linke, P. Hanggi, J. M. Rubi, and A. Perez-Madrid, Phys. Rev. E. 65, 031104 (2002).
- [21] B. Lindner, M. Kostur, and L. Schimansky-Geier, Fluctuation and Noise Letters, 1, R25 (2001).
- [22] V. Blickle, T. Speck, U. Seifert, and C. Bechinger Phys. Rev. E. 75, 060101 (2007).

- [23] Lindenberg, K., et al. Fluctuation and Noise Letters 11.01 (2012).
- [24] Reimann, P., Eichhorn, R. Phys. Rev. Lett. 101(18), 180601 (2008).
- [25] M. Khoury, A.M. Lacasta, J.M. Sancho, K. Lindenberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 090602 (2011).
- [26] B. Derrida, J. Stat. Phys. 31, 3, (1983).
- [27] C. Aslangul, N. Pottier and D. Saint-James, J. Phys. France 50, 899-921 (1989).
- [28] V. Blickle, T. Speck, C. Lutz, U. Seifert, and C. Bechinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 210601 (2007).
- [29] U. Seifert, T. Speck, Europhys. Lett. 89, 10007 (2010).
- [30] T. Speck, U. Seifert, Europhys. Lett. 74, 391 (2006).
- [31] D. Hurowitz, D. Cohen, Europhys. Lett. 93, 60002 (2011).
- [32] U. Seifert Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 138101 (2010).
- [33] S. Rahav, C. Jarzynski, J. Stat. Mech. P09012 (2007).
- [a] See supplementary material at URL for some extra technical details regarding the derivation of the NFT for p(x) and for $\overline{p}(x)$; the procedure for calculating vand D; and the derivation of the Poisson limit.

I. THE NFT FOR A SIMPLE ASYMMETRIC RANDOM WALK

The dynamics that is generated by a rate equation can be simulated as a random walk process with infinitesimal time steps τ . Setting $a^{(0)} = 1$ for the unit cell, the traversed distance is $x = X_1 + ... + X_N$. The transition probabilities per step are

$$P(X = +1) = p \equiv \vec{w}\tau \tag{22}$$

$$P(X = -1) = q \equiv \overleftarrow{w}\tau \tag{23}$$

$$P(X=0) = 1 - p - q \tag{24}$$

The probability distribution can be obtained from the moment generating function of the process

$$Z(k) = \langle e^{-ikx} \rangle = \left[p e^{-ik} + q e^{+ik} + (1 - p - q) \right]^{\mathcal{N}}$$

$$(25)$$

In the continuous time limit $p, q \ll 1$, hence one can expand

$$\ln Z(k) = \mathcal{N} \left[p e^{-ik} + q e^{+ik} - (p+q) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}\tau^2)$$
(26)

Accordingly

$$p(x;t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \, \exp\left[ikx + \left(\overrightarrow{w}e^{-ik} + \overleftarrow{w}e^{ik} - (\overleftarrow{w} + \overrightarrow{w})\right)t\right]$$
(27)

In the expression for p(-x;t) one can invert the sign of the dummy integration variable and then shift it by a constant, namely $k \to k + \ln(\overline{w}/\overline{w})$. Taking the ratio one can verify that the a-priori expected NFT relation holds:

$$\frac{p(-x;t)}{p(x;t)} = e^{-sx}, \qquad s = \ln\left(\frac{\overrightarrow{w}}{\overleftarrow{w}}\right)$$
(28)

We now take one step back and apply the central limit theorem (CLT) before taking the ratio. Recall the the CLT procedure is to expand $\ln Z(k)$ in powers of k, leading to

$$p(x;t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \, \exp\left[ik(x - (\overrightarrow{w} - \overleftarrow{w})t) - \frac{k^2}{2}(\overrightarrow{w} + \overleftarrow{w})t + \mathcal{O}(k^3t)\right]$$
(29)

The average μ and the dispersion σ are implied by the coefficients of the k and k^2 terms in the exponent. By introducing the re-scaled variable $y = (x - \mu)/\sigma$ one observes that in the $t \to \infty$ limit the $\mathcal{O}(k^3 t)$ terms can be neglected, leading to the CLT.

Anticipating a subtlety we use the notation \overline{p} for the normal distribution that is obtained by neglecting the higher order comulants. One observes that within the framework of this approximation

$$\frac{\overline{p}(-x;t)}{\overline{p}(x;t)} = e^{-\overline{s}x}, \qquad \overline{s} = \frac{\overline{w} - \overline{w}}{\overline{w} + \overline{w}}$$
(30)

One observes that the relation between the "effective" and "bare" value is

$$\overline{s} = 2 \tanh\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \tag{31}$$

II. PROCEDURE FOR CALCULATING v AND D

We present here the general procedure for calculating v and D of a diffusive particle on a lattice that has an N site unit cell. Without loss of generality we set $a^{(0)} = 1$, so the lattice constant is $a^{(N)} = N$. For presentation purpose let us consider for example a lattice with a 2 site unit cell. The rate equation for (say) sites n = 3, 4 takes the form

$$\dot{p}_3 = \overrightarrow{A}p_2 - (\overleftarrow{A} + \overrightarrow{B})p_3 + \overleftarrow{B}p_4 \tag{32}$$

$$\dot{p}_4 = \vec{B}p_3 - (\vec{B} + \vec{A})p_4 + \vec{A}p_5 \tag{33}$$

where p_n are the occupation probabilities of the infinite lattice. Applying Bloch theorem the *right* eigenvectors are determined by two amplitudes ψ_1 and ψ_2 , and the recursion $\psi_{n+2} = e^{i\varphi}\psi_n$, where the Bloch phase $\varphi \equiv ka^{(N)}$ is used to define the quasi-momentum k. The reduced equation for the Bloch amplitudes is

$$\begin{pmatrix} -(\overleftarrow{A} + \overrightarrow{B}) & \overrightarrow{A} e^{-i\varphi} + \overleftarrow{B} \\ \overrightarrow{B} + \overleftarrow{A} e^{i\varphi} & -(\overleftarrow{B} + \overrightarrow{A}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = -\lambda \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(34)

The minus sign in front of the eigenvalues is a matter of convention. Note that for $\varphi = 0$ one obtains the lowest eigenvalue $\lambda_0 = 1$ which is associated with the NESS. Schematically we write the reduced equation as $W\psi = -\lambda\psi$. The generalization for N site unit cell is straightforward. Using Dirac notations the reduced Bloch equation is

$$W(\varphi)|\varphi,\nu\rangle = -\lambda_{\nu}(\varphi)|\varphi,\nu\rangle \tag{35}$$

Since W is not a symmetric matrix, one should distinguish between left and right eigenvectors. The left eigenvectors are defined via the equation

$$\langle \varphi, \tilde{\nu} | \boldsymbol{W}(\varphi) = -\lambda_{\nu}(\varphi) \langle \varphi, \tilde{\nu} |$$
(36)

Optionally the latter can be regarded as the right eigenvectors of \mathbf{W}^{\dagger}

$$\boldsymbol{W}^{\dagger}(\varphi)|\varphi,\tilde{\nu}\rangle = -\lambda_{\nu}^{*}(\varphi)|\varphi,\tilde{\nu}\rangle$$
(37)

The left and right eigenvectors form a complete basis

$$\sum_{\varphi,\nu} |\varphi,\nu\rangle\langle\varphi,\tilde{\nu}| = \mathbf{1}$$
(38)

$$\langle \varphi, \tilde{\nu} | \varphi', \nu' \rangle = \delta_{\varphi, \varphi'} \delta_{\nu, \nu'} \tag{39}$$

Turning back to the full lattice, disregarding normalization and gauge issues, the Bloch states can be written in the traditional way as a modulated plane wave:

$$\langle n|k,\nu\rangle = \langle n \operatorname{mod}(N)|\varphi_k,\nu\rangle e^{ikn}$$
(40)

where φ_k is related to k as defined previously. Consequently the time dependent solution of the rate equation is

$$p_n(t) = \sum_{k,\nu} e^{-\lambda_\nu(k)t} \langle n|k,\nu\rangle\langle k,\tilde{\nu}|\text{initial-state}\rangle$$
(41)

Averaging the probability within each unit cell, we get rid of the intra-cell modulation, leading to

$$p_n(t) \approx \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k,\nu} C_{k,\nu} e^{-\lambda_\nu(k)t} e^{ikn}$$
(42)

where L is the length of the sample, and $C_{k,\nu}$ are constants that depend on the initial preparation. Note that in the limit $k \to 0$ the lower bands degenerate, reflecting a unique NESS. Furthermore, due to normalization $C_{0,0} = C = 1$. The moment generating function that is associated with $p_n(t)$ is

$$Z(k) = \sum_{n} e^{-ikn} p_n(t) \approx \sum_{\nu} C_{k,\nu} e^{-\lambda_{\nu}(k)t}$$
(43)

8

The first and second moments of n can be deduced by taking the first and second derivative of Z(k) at k = 0. In the long time limit, only the $\nu = 0$ band survives. Expanding to second order in k we get

$$Z(k) \approx \left[C + C'k + \frac{1}{2}C''k^2 + \dots\right] \left[1 - \left(\lambda'k + \frac{1}{2}\lambda''k^2 + \dots\right)t + \frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda'k + \dots\right)^2 t^2 + \dots\right]$$
(44)

From which we deduce that in the long time limit

$$\langle n \rangle \approx i(C' - C\lambda' t)$$
 (45)

$$\langle n^2 \rangle \approx -(C'' - C\lambda''t - 2C'\lambda't + C\lambda'^2t^2)$$
(46)

$$\operatorname{Var}(n) \approx C\lambda'' t - C'' + C'^2 \tag{47}$$

where C=1, while λ' and λ'' are Taylor coefficients in the expansion of $\lambda_0(k)$. The mobility and the diffusion coefficient are determined accordingly:

$$v = \lim_{t \to \infty} \left[\frac{\langle n \rangle}{t} \right] = i \frac{\partial \lambda_0(k)}{\partial k} \Big|_{k=0}$$
(48)

$$D = \lim_{t \to \infty} \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{\operatorname{Var}(n)}{t} \right] = \left. \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \lambda_0(k)}{\partial k^2} \right|_{k=0}$$
(49)

III. CALCULATION OF v AND D FOR N=2 LATTICE

For the two site system, the lowest eigenvalue $\lambda_0(k)$ is

$$\lambda_0(k) = \frac{1}{2} \left[(\overrightarrow{A} + \overleftarrow{A} + \overrightarrow{B} + \overleftarrow{B}) - \sqrt{(\overrightarrow{A} + \overleftarrow{A} + \overrightarrow{B} + \overleftarrow{B})^2 - 4(1 - e^{-i\varphi}) \overleftarrow{A} \overleftarrow{B}} - 4(1 - e^{i\varphi}) \overrightarrow{A} \overrightarrow{B}} \right]$$
(50)

$$\approx -i\left[\frac{\overrightarrow{A}\overrightarrow{B} - \overleftarrow{A}\overleftarrow{B}}{\overrightarrow{A} + \overleftarrow{A} + \overrightarrow{B} + \overleftarrow{B}}\right]\varphi + \left[\frac{\overrightarrow{A}\overrightarrow{B} + \overleftarrow{A}\overleftarrow{B}}{2(\overrightarrow{A} + \overleftarrow{A} + \overrightarrow{B} + \overleftarrow{B})} - \frac{\left(\overrightarrow{A}\overrightarrow{B} - \overleftarrow{A}\overleftarrow{B}\right)^{2}}{\left(\overrightarrow{A} + \overleftarrow{A} + \overrightarrow{B} + \overleftarrow{B}\right)^{3}}\right]\varphi^{2}$$
(51)

From which the mobility and diffusion coefficients are derived

$$v = \frac{\overrightarrow{A}\overrightarrow{B} - \overleftarrow{A}\overleftarrow{B}}{\overrightarrow{A} + \overleftarrow{A} + \overrightarrow{B} + \overleftarrow{B}}$$
(52)

$$D = \frac{\overrightarrow{A}\overrightarrow{B} + \overleftarrow{A}\overleftarrow{B}}{2(\overrightarrow{A} + \overleftarrow{A} + \overrightarrow{B} + \overleftarrow{B})} - \frac{\left(\overrightarrow{A}\overrightarrow{B} - \overleftarrow{A}\overleftarrow{B}\right)^2}{\left(\overrightarrow{A} + \overleftarrow{A} + \overrightarrow{B} + \overleftarrow{B}\right)^3}$$
(53)

IV. THE v/D RATIO IN THE POISSON LIMIT

The dynamics that is generated by a rate equation can be simulated as a random walk process with infinitesimal time steps τ . Setting $a_0 = 1$ for the unit cell, the traversed distance is $x = X_1 + ... + X_N$. Taking $s \to \infty$ corresponds to the Poisson limit in the following sense:

$$P(X=1) = p \tag{54}$$

$$P(X=0) = 1 - p \tag{55}$$

$$P(X = -1) = 0 (56)$$

with $p = \vec{w}\tau$. Taking the continuous time limit one deduces that in the Poisson limit the ratio between the first and the second moment is unity, in consistency with Eq.(6) with $a = a^{(0)} = 1$.

Below we would like to derive Eq.(17) which applies for a *disordered* sample. We use the same procedure as in the previous section. The rates are $\vec{w}_n = w_n$ and $\vec{w}_n = 0$ for n = 1...N. The characteristic equation for the eigenvalues of $W(\varphi)$ is

$$\prod_{n=1}^{N} (\lambda - w_n) + e^{-i\varphi} \prod_{n=1}^{N} w_n = 0$$
(57)

This can be re-written as

$$\prod_{n=1}^{N} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{w_n} \right) = e^{-i\varphi}$$
(58)

Expanding to second order we get

$$1 - \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\lambda}{w_n} + \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\lambda^2}{w_n w_m} = 1 - i\varphi - \frac{1}{2}\varphi^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varphi^3)$$
(59)

with the solution

$$\lambda = -i \left[\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{w_n} \right)^{-1} \right] \varphi + \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{w_n} \right)^{-3} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{w_n^2} \right) \right] \varphi^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varphi^3)$$
(60)

Using Eq.(48) and Eq.(49) we deduce that

$$\frac{v}{2D} = \frac{\langle (1/w) \rangle^2}{\langle (1/w)^2 \rangle} \tag{61}$$

where the sample average is $\langle R \rangle \equiv (1/N) \sum_n R_n$.